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#### Abstract

Background: mecA is a predefined gene causing methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) isolates; however, it has been shown that some methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) strains do not carry this gene. Recently, in isolates found to be MRSA-positive but mecA-negative, a new resistance gene called mecC, which is a homolog of mecA, has been reported. This study aimed to investigate the mecC and mecA genes in MRSA strains isolated from different geographic regions in Turkey. Methods: The sample of the study consisted of 494 MRSA strains isolated from seven geographical regions in Turkey between 2013 and 2016. The strains were obtained from 17 centers, comprising 13 university hospitals, three education and research hospitals, and one state hospital. Methicillin resistance in S. aureus strains was determined using the agar disk diffusion method with a cefoxitin disk and the agar dilution method with oxacillin. The mecC and mecA genes in MRSA strains was investigated by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). Results: Of the MRSA strains investigated, $47.9 \%$ were isolated from intensive care units. Concerning sample type, $36.7 \%$ were detected in the respiratory tract (tracheal aspirate, sputum, etc.), $24.8 \%$ in blood, $18.7 \%$ in skin and soft tissues, $9.3 \%$ in nasal swabs, $5.4 \%$ in urine, $4.1 \%$ in ears, and $1 \%$ in sterile body fluid. Using PCR, mecC was not identified in any of the S. aureus strains isolated from different clinical microbiology laboratories. mecA gene positivity was found in 315 of the MRSA strains (63.8\%). Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome mec (SCCmec) type was identified in 232 strains ( $46.9 \%$ ), of which 136 ( $58.7 \%$ ) were type II, 75 (32.4\%) were type IV, 12 (5.1\%) were type IIIb, six ( $2.5 \%$ ) were type I, and three (1.3\%) were type III.


[^0]Conclusion: This is the first multi-centered study to investigate MRSA strains isolated from different regions in Turkey. The mecC gene was not detected in any of the MRSA strains. We believe that this study will constitute an important basis for monitoring possible future changes.
© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Limited on behalf of King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.
org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus primarily causes skin and soft tissue infections, as well as other serious infections, such as pneumonia, osteomyelitis, meningitis, and endocarditis [1]. While S. aureus strains used to be only resistant to penicillin and its derivatives through the mechanism of $\beta$-lactamase production, they developed resistance to all $\beta$-lactam antibiotics as a result of the change in penicillin-binding protein 2 a (PBP 2a) found in their genomes [2,3].

Methicillin-resistantS. aureus (MRSA) strains produce an altered penicillin-binding protein (PBP 2a) encoded by mecA, a gene located within a mobile genetic element called the Staphylococcal Chromosome Cassette mec (SCCmec) [4,5]. SCCmec elements are highly diverse in their structural organization and genetic content and have been classified into types from type I to type XIII [6,7].

In 2005, Livestock-Associated MRSA (LA-MRSA) CC398 was detected for the first time in pigs and pig farmers. From this period onwards, it was determined that the pig population was a reservoir for LA-MRSA, but LA-MRSA was also found in a wide range animals such as chickens, horses, sheep, goats, calves, and dairy cattle [8-10]. In a study on cattle mastitis in the United Kingdom (UK), an oxacillin- and methicillin-resistant S. aureus isolate was phenotypically identified in a sample obtained from a milk tank [11]. However, it was determined that this isolate did not carry the $m e c A$ gene. The genomic sequence analysis of this isolate, LGA251, revealed that it carried a mecA homolog $\left(m e c A_{\text {LGA251 }}\right)$ with approximately $69 \%$ similarity to the classical mecA gene, and this newly identified gene encoded a protein with approximately $63 \%$ similarity to the PBP 2a protein and was named mecC in 2012 [12].
mecC MRSA has been reported in a wide range of other host species, including livestock, wildlife and companion animals in many European countries. As in human isolates, those isolated from animals are associated more with the clonal complex 130 (CC130) and with ST425 to a lesser degree. Therefore, this lineage seems to have a broad host tropism [13]. Several studies have shown that mecC-positive MRSA is relatively common in dairy cattle, suggesting that cattle provide an infection reservoir and farmers in contact with dairy cattle may be at risk of acquiring these isolates $[10,14,15]$. Furthermore, researchers using whole-genome sequencing have reported evidence of zoonotic transmission of mecC-positive MRSA from cattle to humans [16]. In addition, MRSA carrying the mecC gene was found in sheep, which might be considered as another reservoir [15]. mecC MRSA is currently rare in humans, but there are interesting geographical differences in terms of prevalence; in particular, the latest increase in prevalence in Denmark underlines the need to monitor mecC MRSA [10,16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no comprehensive study that examined the mecC gene in Turkey. Therefore, this study aimed to explore the presence of the mecC gene in MRSA strains isolated from different geographic regions in Turkey.

## Materials and methods

## Study area and bacterial isolates

There are seven geographical regions in Turkey. The sample of this study consisted of 494 MRSA strains isolated from all seven regions between 2013 and 2016. Of these strains, 120 were
obtained from Central Anatolia, 111 from Black Sea, 102 from Marmara, 80 from Eastern Anatolia, 47 Aegean, 18 from South East Anatolia, and 16 from Mediterranean.

The study was carried out in Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University Mengücek Gazi Training and Research Hospital Microbiology Laboratory. The strains were transferred to our laboratory in cryobeads in accordance with cold chain transportation rules and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis. The cryobeads were thawed at room temperature before enrichment in tryptic soy broth and cultured onto a blood agar.

## Antibiotic susceptibility testing

The methicillin resistance was determined using the KirbyBauer disk diffusion method with a cefoxitin disk ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, Oxoid, UK) and the agar dilution method with oxacillin (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standards [17]. For the cefoxitin disk diffusion assay, a suspension of colonies was grown for 24 h and adjusted to give a turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard. The bacterial suspension was spread over the surface of the Mueller-Hinton agar medium (Oxoid, UK) by swabbing, and cefoxitin disks were placed on the surface of the agar. The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16-18 h ; then, the zone diameters were measured, and the isolates with a zone diameter of $\leq 21 \mathrm{~mm}$ for cefoxitin were considered to be methicillin-resistant. For the oxacillin agar dilution assay, 0.5 McFarland standards were prepared from freshly grown bacterial cultures. This suspension was inoculated onto the Mueller Hinton agar medium $(+4 \% \mathrm{NaCl})$ containing $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ oxacillin and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Testing at temperatures above $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ may not detect MRSA. >1 colony growth was considered oxacillin-resistant [17].

## DNA isolation

The isolates were subcultured on trypticase soya agar plates (bioMérieux, France) prior to DNA extraction. For DNA extraction, one colony was suspended on $500 \mu$ l of sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS) ( $\mathrm{pH}: 7.2$ ). The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at $3000 \times g$ for 10 min , and the pellet was resuspended in $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ TE buffer [ 10 mm tris chloride, 1 mm EDTA ( pH 8.0 )] containing nystatin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , vortexing every 15 min . Then, $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) containing proteinase $\mathrm{K}(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ (Vivantis Technologies, Malaysia) was added and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h, vortexing every 15 min . Next, DNA was extracted using the phenol/chloroform method as described by Sambrook and Russell [18]. The DNA was eluted in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TE buffer [ 10 mM Tris chloride- 1 mM EDTA ( pH 8.0 )], and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

## Multiplex PCR for SCCmec typing

The cycling conditions and primers as described by Oliveira and de Lencastre [19] were used to detect the mecA gene and SCCmec types (I-IV) (Table 1). The primer sets used for the assignment of the mecA gene and SCCmec types are listed in Table 1. The multiplex PCR was performed in a $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume: $1 \times$ PCR buffer; $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (each) dNTP; 200 nM concentrations of primers KDP F1, KDP R1,

Table 1
Primers used in multiplex PCR [17].

| Locus | Primer | Oligonucleotide sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Location | Amplicon size (bp) | Specificity (SCCmec type) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | CIF2 F2 | 5'-TTCGAGTTGCTGATGAAGAAGG -3' | 18398-18419 | 495 | I |
|  | CIF2 R2 | 5'-ATTTACCACAAGGACTACCAGC-3' | 18892-18871 |  |  |
| B | KDP F1 | 5'-AATCATCTGCCATTGGTGATGC-3' | 10445-10467 | 284 | II |
|  | KDP R1 | 5'-CGAATGAAGTGAAAGAAAGTGG-3' | 10728-10707 |  |  |
| C | MECI P2 | 5'-ATCAAGACTTGCATTCAGGC-3' | 42428-42447 | 209 | II, III |
|  | MECI P3 | 5'-GCGGTTTCAATTCACTTGTC-3' | 42636-42617 |  |  |
| D | DCS F2 | 5'-CATCCTATGATAGCTTGGTC-3' | 38011-37992 | 342 | I, II, IV |
|  | DCS R1 | 5'-CTAAATCATAGCCATGACCG-3' | 37670-37689 |  |  |
| E | RIF4 F3 | 5'-GTGATTGTTCGAGATATGTGG-3' | 45587-45607 | 243 | III |
|  | RIF4 R9 | 5'-CGCTTTATCTGTATCTATCGC-3' | 45829-45809 |  |  |
| F | RIF5 F10 | 5'-TTCTTAAGTACACGCTGAATCG-3' | 59573-59594 | 414 | III |
|  | RIF5 R13 | 5'-GTCACAGTAATTCCATCAATGC-3' | 59986-59965 |  |  |
| G | IS431 P4 | 5'-CAGGTCTCTTCAGATCTACG-3' | 49963-49982 | 381 |  |
|  | pUB110 R1 | 5'-GAGCCATAAACACCAATAGCC-3' | 50343-50323 |  |  |
| H |  | 5'-CAGGTCTCTTCAGATCTACG-3' | 29654-29673 | 303 |  |
|  | pT181 R1 | 5'-GAAGAATGGGGAAAGCTTCAC-3' | 29976-29956 |  |  |
| $m e c A$ | MECA P4 | 5'-TCCAGATTACAACTTCACCAGG-3' | 1190-1211 | 162 | Internal control |
|  | MECA P7 | 5'-CCACTTCATATCTTGTAACG-3' | 1351-1332 |  |  |

RIF4 F3, and RIF4 R9; 400 nM concentrations of primers CIF2 F2, CIF2 R2, MECI P2, MECI P3, RIF5 F10, RIF5 R13, pUB110 R1, and pT181 R1; 800 nM concentrations of primers DCS F2, DCS R2, MECA P4, MECA P7, and IS431 P4; 1.5 U of Taq DNA Polymerase (Vivantis Technologies, Malaysia); and approximately 5 ng of template DNA.

PCR was carried out in a DNA Thermal Cycler CFX 96 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) with the following conditions: predenaturation for 4 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min ; post-extension for 4 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and soaking at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR products were electrophoresed on $2 \%$ agarose gel in $0.5 \times$ Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (Vivantis Technologies, Malaysia) and stained with ethidium bromide ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). S. aureus ATCC 43300 was used as the positive control strain.

## Detection of the mecC gene

For the detection of the mecC gene by PCR, we used the following primers described by Stegger et al. [20] as follows: 5'-GAA AAA AAG GCT TAG AAC GCC TC-3' (forward) and 5'-GAA GAT CTT TTC CGT TTT CAG C-3' (reverse). Amplification was performed with the following conditions: 15 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 min at $59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a final 10 min elongation step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR products were electrophoresed on $2 \%$ agarose gel in $0.5 \times$ Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (Vivantis Technologies, Malaysia) and stained with ethidium bromide ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). S. aureus NCTC 13552 was used as the positive control strain.

## Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp. Released 2010. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 19.0. Armonk, NY) was used to evaluate the data. Continuous variables were summarized as means $\pm$ standard deviation, and categorical variables as n (\%).

## Results

The S. aureus-isolated patients were between the ages of 1 and 92 , and the mean age was $54.8 \pm 2.4$ years. Of the strains, 269 (54.5\%) were isolated from male patients and 225 (45.5\%) from females.

Table 2
Distribution of S. aureus strains by clinic.

| Clinic | Total (n/\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intensive care unit | $237(47.9)$ |
| Internal medicine | $53(10.8)$ |
| Pediatric service | $40(8.1)$ |
| Orthopedics | $35(7.0)$ |
| Otorhinolaryngology | $23(4.6)$ |
| Infectious diseases | $21(4.3)$ |
| Dermatology | $17(3.5)$ |
| Urology | $16(3.3)$ |
| Pulmonary diseases | $16(3.3)$ |
| Other | $36(7.2)$ |
| Total | $494(100)$ |

Table 3
Distribution of S. aureus strains by type of sample.

| Type of Sample | Total (n/\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Respiratory (tracheal aspirate. sputum. etc.) | $181(36.7)$ |
| Blood | $123(24.8)$ |
| Skin and soft tissue | $92(18.7)$ |
| Nose | $46(9.3)$ |
| Urine | $27(5.4)$ |
| Ears | $20(4.1)$ |
| Sterile body fluid | $5(1.0)$ |
| Total | $494(100)$ |

Fig. 2 and Table 2 present the distributions of the MRSA strains according to the geographical regions and clinics from which they were isolated, respectively. Most of the MRSA strains were isolated from intensive care units (47.9\%), followed by internal medicine ( $10.8 \%$ ), pediatrics ( $8.1 \%$ ), and orthopedics and traumatology services (7\%).

Concerning the type of samples, most MRSA strains were isolated from the respiratory tract (sputum, tracheal aspirate, bronchoalveolar lavage etc.), blood, skin and soft tissues. Table 3 presents the distribution of all MRSA strains by type of sample.

All isolates were reported to be phenotypically resistant to oxacillin and cefoxitin, and identified as MRSA by diagnostic laboratory analysis. In this study, the multiplex PCR did not reveal the presence of mecC gene in any of the 494 MRSA isolates obtained from all seven geographical regions in Turkey. The mecA gene was positive in 315 of the MRSA strains (63.8\%) and the SCCmec type was


Fig. 1. Representative gel demonstrating the expected PCR products for SCCmec types. I-IV. Lanes M: DNA molecular size marker (VC 100 bp Plus DNA Ladder, Vivantis Technologies, Malaysia).


Fig. 2. Distribution of the investigated S. aureus strains and SCCmec types by geographical region.
identified in 232 strains (46.9\%) as follows: type II in 136 (58.7\%), type IV in 75 (32.4\%), type IIIb in 12 (5.1\%), type I in six ( $2.5 \%$ ), and type III in three (1.3\%) (Fig. 1). Fig. 2 presents the distribution of MRSA SCCmec types by geographical region.

## Discussion

MRSA, is a major pathogen associated with severe nosocomial infections due to its multidrug resistance that limits treatment options. In addition to being resistant to all $\beta$-lactams, MRSA can also show resistance to antimicrobials included in macrolide, quinolone, tetracycline, lincosamide and aminoglycoside groups [21]. Therefore, the diagnosis and detection of MRSA is necessary and important for the selection of appropriate treatment for patients [22].

MRSA isolates are detected by the disk diffusion method using a cefoxitin disk or the agar dilution method with oxacillin. Oxacillin maintains its activity during storage better than methicillin and is more likely to detect heteroresistant strains. However, cefoxitin is an even better inducer of the mecA gene, and tests using cefoxitin
provide more reproducible and accurate results than those with oxacillin. In addition, CLSI, recommends the cefoxitin disk screen test. However, detecting the mecA gene by PCR is the gold standard method [17,23,24]. To date, 13 different SCCmec types have been defined [7]. The SCCmec type of hospital-acquired MRSA (HAMRSA) differs from that of community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) [25,26], with types I, II and III being mostly found in HA-MRSA and types IV and V in CA-MRSA [25-27].

Several studies conducted in Turkey showed that SCCmec type III was the most common. For example, Akoğlu et al. [28] reported SCCmec type III in $61.8 \%$, type IIIb in $34.5 \%$, and type IV in $2.7 \%$ of HA-MRSA strains. Kilic et al. [29] demonstrated that SCCmec type III was predominant ( $82.1 \%$ ) in a tertiary care facility over a period of four years. In another epidemiological study conducted between 2006 and 2008, Bozdoğan et al. investigated the SCCmec types of 397 MRSA strains isolated from different geographical regions in Turkey and identified type III in $91.4 \%$ and type IV in $7.6 \%$ of the samples [30].

In this study, the SCCmec type of 232 MRSA strains was defined. Unlike many studies undertaken in Turkey, we found SCCmec type II
to be the most common type. In previous studies, the SCCmec type of MRSA strains was shown to vary between countries, between different centers in the same country, or even in the same region over time. For example, of the MRSA strains isolated from Japan between 1979 and 1985, $53.6 \%$ were reported to be of SCCmec type IV, $22.7 \%$ type I, and $21.6 \%$ type II. However, after the 1990s, sequence type 5 (ST5)-SCCmec type II was found to be the dominant clinical MRSA strain in Japanese hospitals [31]. In the current study, $47.9 \%$ of the strains were isolated from intensive care units, $36.7 \%$ from respiratory samples, and $24.8 \%$ from blood samples. We consider that our results differing from those of other studies conducted in Turkey may be due to the differences in the study period and patient groups.

It was previously reported that in MRSA strains that did not carry the mecA gene, methicillin resistance was caused by the mecC gene [15]. mecC was first isolated from a bulk tank milk sample in southwest England [11]. The discovery of MRSA carrying the mecC gene has led to the investigation of the source and epidemiology of these isolates in many countries. In a previous study, mecC was identified in various samples obtained from 14 different local and wild animal species in 13 European countries [13]. Furthermore, mecC-positive S. aureus isolates were not only detected in animal species, but also in humans. Although these isolates are less common among humans, MRSA strains carrying the mecC gene may become problematic for public health since phenotypic and genotypic tests are not sufficient to properly detect this gene [32].

In a study conducted in Denmark between 2003 and 2011, the mecC positivity was reported to be $1.5 \%$, and the authors noted an increase in mecC-positive samples from $1.9 \%$ in 2010 to $2.8 \%$ in 2011 [10]. In Germany, the presence of mecC was investigated in 1604 MRSA strains isolated in 2004 and 2005, and 1603 strains isolated in 2010 and 2011, and only one isolate ( $0.06 \%$ ) was found to be mecC-positive for each period [33].

In studies conducted in various countries, mecC was not detected in 102 MRSA strains isolated from wounded military personnel in the United States of America (USA) between 2009 and 2011 [34], 34 MRSA strains isolated from a dental clinic in Egypt [35], and any of the 500 S. aureus isolates collected in the UK in 2012 and 2013 [36].

In Turkey, only one study was found to have investigated the mecC gene in MRSA strains isolated from humans. In that study, Kılıç et al. [37] isolated 1177 MSSA (Methicillin-Susceptible S. aureus) and 523 MRSA strains isolated from various clinical samples in a hospital between 2007 and 2014, and reported that mecC was not present in any of the samples.

Similarly, in the current study that explored the presence of the mecC gene in a selected number of strains collected from all geographical regions in Turkey, we did not identify any MRSA isolate that carried this gene.

Recently, during routine MRSA screening in an S. aureus isolate that tested negative for mecA and mecC but thought to be methicillin resistant, Becker et al. discovered a plasmid carrying the $m e c B$ gene. The isolate had been obtained from the nasal-throat swab of a 67-year-old cardiology patient with no sign of infection. A comparative analysis of the mecB DNA of $S$. aureus revealed that Macroccocus caseolyticus had $100 \%$ sequence identity with the reported mecB gene, and thus belonged to the same allotype. The mecB homolog of $S$. aureus shows a $60 \%$ nucleotide sequence similarity to the originally identified mecA gene of S. aureus N315. As in the mecA and mecC genes, mecB in $S$. aureus results in methicillin resistance, and therefore the strains it carries should be accurately identified as MRSA, rather than as MSSA. This can be achieved using antibiotic susceptibility testing. However, the PCR method with mecB-specific primers should also be undertaken for the accurate identification of MRSA strains $[38,39]$.

The limitation of the current study was that the presence of mecA and mecC genes was not detected in the 179 isolates that were found to have phenotypic methicillin resistance. The absence of the detection of mecA and mecC genes in these isolates may be related to the PCR method used or the presence of a different mec gene (mecB).

In conclusion, certain questions remain unanswered about the mecCgene, concerning the origin of the mec homolog, the actual distribution and prevalence in animal populations and human beings, and the real zoonotic potential of the staphylococcal isolates harboring mecC. Our study was the first multi-centered study that investigated the presence of the mecC gene in MRSA strains isolated from all geographical regions in Turkey. To date, no MRSA strain isolated from human beings in Turkey has been reported to carry the mecC gene. However, considering that MRSA isolates with the mecC gene were found to have rapidly spread across Europe after the identification of farm animals as reservoirs, it is very likely that this gene will also be detected in Turkey in the near future. We believe that this study will provide an important basis for monitoring possible future changes. We also consider that there is a need for further studies to investigate the types of mecA in MRSA strains.
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