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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the graph $H_{u, n}$ to be connected and a forest. MSC: 20H10; 20H05; 05C05; 05C20
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the extended rationals and $\Gamma=\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be the modular group acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ as with the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ :

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right): z=\frac{x}{y} \rightarrow \frac{a z+b}{c z+d}=\frac{a x+b y}{c x+d y},
$$

where $a, b, c$, and $d$ are rational integers and $a d-b c=1$.
Jones, Singerman, and Wicks [1] used the notion of the imprimitive action [2-4] for a $\Gamma$ invariant equivalence relation induced on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ by the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_{0}(n)=\{g \in \Gamma$ : $c \equiv 0(\bmod n)\}$ to obtain some suborbital graphs and examined their connectedness and forest properties. They left the forest problem as a conjecture, which was settled down by the second author in [5].
In this paper we introduce a different $\Gamma$-invariant equivalence relation by using the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ instead of $\Gamma_{0}(n)$ and obtain some results for the newly constructed subgraphs $H_{u, n}$. In Section 4 we will prove our main theorems on $H_{u, n}$ which give conditions for $H_{u, n}$ to be connected or to be a forest, and we work out some relations between the lengths of circuits in $H_{u, n}$ and the elliptic elements of the group $\Gamma_{1}(n)$. As $\Gamma$ only has finite order elements of orders 2 and 3 , the same is true for $\Gamma_{1}(n)$.
Here, it is worth noting that these concepts are very much related to the binary quadratic forms and modular forms in [6] and $[7,8]$ respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\Gamma_{1}(n)=\{g \in \Gamma: a \equiv d \equiv 1(\bmod n), c \equiv 0(\bmod n)\}$, which is one of the congruence subgroups of $\Gamma$. Then $\Gamma_{\infty}<\Gamma_{1}(n) \leq \Gamma$ for each $n$, where $\Gamma_{\infty}$ is the stabilizer of $\infty$ generated by the element $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and second inclusion is strict if $n>1$.
Since, by [1], $\Gamma$ acts transitively on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$, any reduced fraction $\frac{r}{s}$ in $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ equals $g(\infty)$ for some $g \in \Gamma$. Hence, we get the following imprimitive $\Gamma$-invariant equivalence relation on $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ by

[^0]$\Gamma_{1}(n):$
$$
\frac{r}{s} \sim \frac{x}{y} \quad \text { if and only if } g^{-1} h \in \Gamma_{1}(n),
$$

where $g=\left(\begin{array}{c}r \\ * \\ s\end{array}\right)$ and $h$ is similar.
Here, as in [1], the imprimitivity means that the above relation is different from the identity relation ( $a \sim b$ if and only if $a=b$ ) and the universal relation ( $a \sim b$ for all $a, b \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

From the above, we can easily verify that

$$
\frac{r}{s} \sim \frac{x}{y} \quad \text { if and only if } x \equiv r(\bmod n), y \equiv s(\bmod n)
$$

The equivalence classes are called blocks and the block containing $\frac{x}{y}$ is denoted by $\left[\frac{x}{y}\right]$. Here we must point out that the above equivalence relation is different from the one in [1]. This is because we take the group $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ instead of $\Gamma_{0}(n)$. The main reason of changing the equivalence relation lies in the fact that in the case of $\Gamma_{1}(n)$, as we will see below, the elliptic elements do not necessarily correspond to circuits of the same order. It was the case in [5].

## 3 Subgraphs $\boldsymbol{H}_{u, n}$

The modular group $\Gamma$ acts on $\hat{\mathbb{Q}} \times \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ through $g:(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow(g(\alpha), g(\beta))$. The orbits are called suborbitals. From the suborbital $O(\alpha, \beta)$ containing $(\alpha, \beta)$ we can form the suborbital graph $G(\alpha, \beta)$ whose vertices are the elements of $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ and edges are the pairs $(\gamma, \delta) \in O(\alpha, \beta)$, which we will denote by $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ and represent them as hyperbolic geodesics in $\mathcal{H}$.
Since $\Gamma$ acts transitively on $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$, every suborbital $O(\alpha, \beta)$ contains a pair $\left(\infty, \frac{u}{n}\right)$ for $\frac{u}{n} \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$, $n \geq 0,(u, n)=1$. In this case, we denote the suborbital graph by $G_{u, n}$ for short.

As $\Gamma$ permutes the blocks transitively, all subgraphs corresponding to blocks are isomorphic. Therefore we will only consider the subgraph $H_{u, n}$ of $G_{u, n}$ whose vertices form the block $[\infty]=\left[\frac{1}{0}\right]$, which is the set $\left\{\left.\frac{x}{y} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Q}} \right\rvert\, x \equiv 1(\bmod n)\right.$ and $\left.y \equiv 0(\bmod n)\right\}$. The following two results were proved in [1].

Theorem 1 There is an edge $\frac{r}{s} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y}$ in $G_{u, n}$ if and only if either

1. $x \equiv u r(\bmod n), y \equiv u s(\bmod n)$ and $r y-s x=n$ or
2. $x \equiv-u r(\bmod n), y \equiv-u s(\bmod n)$ and $r y-s x=-n$.

Lemma $1 G_{u, n}=G_{v, m}$ if and only if $n=m$ and $u \equiv v(\bmod n)$.

The suborbital graph $F:=G_{1,1}$ is the familiar Farey graph with $\frac{a}{b} \rightarrow \frac{c}{d}$ if and only if $a d-b c= \pm 1$.

As it is illustrated in Figure 1, the pattern is periodic of period 1. That is, if $x \rightarrow y$ is an edge, then $x+1 \rightarrow y+1$ is an edge as well.

Lemma 2 No edges of $F$ cross in $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 1 clearly gives the following.


Figure 1 Farey graph.

Theorem 2 Let $\frac{r}{s}$ and $\frac{x}{y}$ be in $[\infty]$. Then there is an edge $\frac{r}{s} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y}$ in $H_{u, n}$ if and only if

1. $x \equiv u r(\bmod n), r y-s x=n, o r$
2. $x \equiv-u r(\bmod n), r y-s x=-n$.

Theorem 3 Let $\frac{r}{s}$ and $\frac{x}{y}$ be in $[\infty]$. Then there is an edge $\frac{r}{s} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y}$ in $H_{u, n}$ if and only if

1. $u=0$ and $r y-s x=1$ or
2. $u=1$ and $r y-s x=n$ or
3. $u=n-1$ and $r y-s x=-n$.

Proof Let $\frac{r}{s} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y}$ be an edge in $H_{u, n}$. Since $\frac{r}{s}$ and $\frac{x}{y}$ are in $[\infty], x, r \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Therefore, according to Theorem 2, we have $1 \equiv u(\bmod n), r y-s x=n$ or $1 \equiv-u(\bmod n), r y-s x=-n$. The first implies that $u=0$ and $u=1$, which proves (1). The second assures that $u=0$, $n=1$ or $u=1, n=2$ or $u=n-1$, which gives (3).
For the converse, it is enough to verify (3) only. For this, let $u=n-1$ and $r y-s x=-n$. Then $x \equiv-r(n-1) \equiv 0(\bmod n)$. This, by Theorem 2, completes the proof.

Theorem $4 \Gamma_{1}(n)$ permutes the vertices and the edges of $H_{u, n}$ transitively.

## Proof

1. Let $v$ and $w$ be vertices in $H_{u, n}$. Then $w=g(v)$ for some $g \in \Gamma$. Since $v \sim \infty$, $g(v) \sim g(\infty)$, that is, $w \sim g(\infty)$. Therefore, $g(\infty)$ lies in the block [ $\infty$ ] and so $g$ is in $\Gamma_{1}(n)$.
2. The proof for edges is similar.

Definition 1 Let $H_{u, n}$ and $H_{v, m}$ be two suborbital graphs. If the map $\phi$ is an injective function from the vertex set of $H_{u, n}$ to that of $H_{v, m}$ and sends the edges of $H_{u, n}$ to the edges of $H_{\nu, m}$, then $\phi$ is called a suborbital graph homomorphism (homomorphism for short) and it will be denoted by $\phi: H_{u, n} \rightarrow H_{v, m}$.

## Theorem 5

1. If $m \mid n$, then $\phi(v)=\frac{n v}{m}$ is a homomorphism from $H_{u, n}$ to $H_{u, m}$.
2. Let $m \mid n$ and $m \neq n$; then the homomorphism in (1) is not an isomorphism.
3. Let $\phi: H_{1, n} \rightarrow H_{n-1, n}$, given by $\phi(a)=a$ for all vertices and $\phi(a \rightarrow b)=b \rightarrow a$, be an isomorphism.

## Proof

1. Let $\frac{r}{s n} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y n}$ be in $H_{u, n}$. To see $\frac{r}{s m} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y m}$ is in $H_{u, m}$ is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.
2. Conversely, suppose that $h: H_{u, n} \rightarrow H_{u, m}, h(v)=\frac{n v}{m}$ is an isomorphism. Then there exists a vertex $v$ in $H_{u, n}$ such that $h(v)=\frac{m+1}{m}$. Therefore, $v=\frac{m+1}{n}$. But, since $m \mid n$ and $m \neq n, m+1 \not \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. That is, $\frac{m+1}{n}$ is not a vertex in $H_{u, n}$. This gives the proof.
3. Since the subgraphs $H_{1, n}$ and $H_{n-1, n}$ have same set of vertices, $\phi$ is well defined. Now suppose $\frac{r}{s n} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y n}$ is an edge in $H_{1, n}$. Then, by Theorem 3(2), $r y-s x=n$. So, $s x-r y=-n$. That is, using Theorem 3(3), $\frac{x}{y n} \rightarrow \frac{r}{s n}$ is an edge in $H_{n-1, n}$.

Corollary 1 If $m \mid n$, then $H_{u, n} \rightarrow H_{u, m}, v \rightarrow \frac{n v}{m}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $m=n$.
Corollary $2 \phi: H_{u, n} \rightarrow F$, given by $v \rightarrow n v$, is a homomorphism.

Proof Since $H_{u, 1}=F$, Theorem 5(1) gives the result.

Corollary 3 No edges of $H_{u, n}$ cross in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof By Corollary 2 there is an isomorphism from $H_{u, n}$ to a subgraph of $F$. Also, by Lemma 2, no edges of $F$ cross in $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore the result follows.

## 4 Main calculations

In this final section, we state all conditions for $H_{u, n}$ to be connected and a forest.

Definition 2 For $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2$, let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$ be vertices of $H_{u, n}$. The configuration $v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{m} \rightarrow v_{1}$ (some arrows, not all, may be reversed) is called a circuit of length $m$.
If $m=3$, the circuit is said to be a triangle. If $m=2$, we call the self paired edge a 2-gon.
A graph is called a forest if it contains no circuits other than 2-gons.

As in examples $\infty \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \infty$ is a 2 -gon in $H_{1,2}$ and $\infty \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \infty$ is a triangle in $H_{1,1}$ and furthermore we will see below that $\infty \rightarrow v_{1} \rightarrow v_{2} \rightarrow \cdots$ never becomes a circuit in $H_{1, n}$ for $n \geq 2$.

We now prove the connectedness of $H_{1, n}$ separately as follows.

Theorem $6 H_{1,2}$ is connected.

Proof Since the situation, only for this case, coincides with the situation in [1], it is not necessary to give a proof.

To understand subsequent proofs better, we start by giving the following example.

Example 1 The subgraph $H_{1,3}$ is not connected.

Solution 1 Since $\infty \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}$ is an edge in $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{1,3}$ is periodic with period 1, we just need consider the strip $\frac{1}{3} \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq \frac{4}{3}$. It is clear that $\infty$ is adjacent to $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{4}{3}$ in $H_{1,3}$, but to no intermediate vertices. We will show that no vertices of $H_{1,3}$ in the interval $\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]$ are


Figure $2 H_{1,3}$.


Figure $3 H_{1, n}$.
adjacent to vertices of $H_{1,3}$ outside this interval. Of course, there is some vertex of $H_{1,3}$, such as $\frac{19}{27}$, in $\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]$.

As in Figure 2, suppose that the edge $\frac{a}{3 b} \rightarrow \frac{c}{3 d}$ in $H_{1,3}$ crosses $\operatorname{Re} z=\frac{2}{3}$. Then Corollary 2 implies that $\frac{a}{b} \rightarrow \frac{c}{d}$ is an edge in $F$ and furthermore $\frac{a}{b}<2<\frac{c}{d}$. This proves that the edges $\frac{a}{b} \rightarrow \frac{c}{d}$ and $\infty \rightarrow 2$ cross in $F$, a contradiction. A similar argument shows that no edges of $H_{1,3}$ cross $\operatorname{Re} z=1$. These conclude that $H_{1,3}$ is not connected.

Note 1 The graphs $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{2,3}$ have at least two connected components.

Proof Example 1 and Theorem 5(3) give the result.

We now give the following.

Theorem $7 H_{1, n}$ is not connected if $n \geq 3$.

Proof Since $H_{1, n}$ is periodic with period 1, we can, again, work in the strip $\frac{1}{n} \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq \frac{n+1}{n}$. Note that $\infty$ is adjacent to $\frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{n+1}{n}$ in $H_{1, n}$, but to no intermediate vertices. We will show that no vertices in $H_{1, n}$, between $\frac{2}{n}$ and 1 , are adjacent to vertices outside this interval. We note that there are vertices of $H_{1, n}$ in $\left(\frac{2}{n}, 1\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed as in Figure 3, if $n$ is odd, take the vertex $\frac{6 n+1}{9 n}$ in $\left(\frac{2}{n}, 1\right)$ and if $n$ is even, take the vertex $\frac{n+1}{2 n}$ in $\left(\frac{2}{n}, 1\right)$.
Suppose that an edge crosses $\operatorname{Re} z=\frac{2}{n}$, whence that it joins $v=\frac{a}{n b}$ to $w=\frac{c}{n d}$. By Corollary 2, $n v$ and $n w$ must be adjacent in $F$. As in Example 1, this is a contradiction. A similar
argument shows that no edge crosses $\operatorname{Re} z=1$, and since vertices between $\frac{2}{n}$ and 1 are not adjacent to $\infty$, it follows that $H_{1, n}$ is not connected.
Consequently, since there is no circuit like $\infty \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \leftarrow v_{1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \frac{n+1}{n} \leftarrow \infty$ in $H_{1, n}, H_{1, n}$ is not connected for $n \geq 3$.

Theorem $8 H_{u, n}$ is connected if and only if $n \leq 2$.

Proof If $n=1,2$, it follows from [1]; otherwise, it follows from Theorem 7.

Theorem $9 H_{u, n}$ contains a triangle if and only if $n=1$.

Proof Let $D$ be a triangle in $H_{u, n}$. From Theorem 3, $u=1$ or $u=n-1$. Using Theorem 5(3), we may only work in $H_{1, n}$. By Theorem 4 , we may suppose that $D$ has the form $\infty \rightarrow v_{1} \rightarrow$ $v_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ or $\infty \rightarrow v_{1} \leftarrow v_{2} \rightarrow \infty$. Let us do calculations only for the first triangle. We easily see that $v_{1}=\frac{x}{n}$ and $v_{2}=\frac{y}{n}$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\frac{x}{n}<\frac{y}{n}$, then $x-y=-1$. Since $\frac{x}{n}$ and $\frac{y}{n} \in[\infty]$, $x-y \equiv 0(\bmod n)$. So, $n=1$. If $\frac{x}{n}>\frac{y}{n}$, then $x-y=1$. Therefore, again, $n=1$.

Conversely, if $n=1$, then $u=0$ or 1 . But since $H_{0,1}=H_{1,1}$, we have the triangle $\frac{1}{0} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1} \rightarrow$ $\frac{2}{1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{0}$.

Theorem $10 H_{u, n}$ contains a 2-gon if and only if $n=1$ or 2 .

Proof Suppose $\frac{x}{k n} \rightarrow \frac{y}{l n} \rightarrow \frac{x}{k n}$ is a 2-gon in $H_{u, n}$. Then, by Theorem 3, it is easily seen that $n=1$ or 2 .
Conversely, if $n=1$ or 2 , it is clear that $\frac{1}{0} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{0}$ is a 2-gon.

We now give one of our main theorems.

Theorem 11 If $n \geq 2$, then $H_{1, n}$ and $H_{n-1, n}$ are forests.

Proof Let $n=2$. Assume that $H_{1,2}$ is not a forest. Therefore we suppose that there exists a circuit $D$, other than 2-gon, in $H_{1,2}$. By Theorem 4 and Theorem 3, we may assume that $D$ has the form $\infty \rightarrow v_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, where the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are all different. Here, since the pattern for the subgraph $H_{u, n}$ is periodic with period 1 , we may choose the vertices of $D$, apart from $\infty$, in the interval $\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$. By Theorem $3, v_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$. If $v_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$, then $v_{k}=\frac{2 a+1}{2} \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ and $v_{1} \neq v_{k}$ give that $v_{k}=\frac{3}{2}$. Since 1 is not a vertex in $H_{1,2}$, Corollary 2 implies that such a circuit $D$ does not occur. Similarly, we can show that there is not a circuit $D$ in the case where $v_{1}=\frac{3}{2}$. That is, $H_{1,2}$ is a forest.

Now let $n \geq 3$. If $H_{1, n}$ is not a forest, then, as we will see now by Theorem 3, $D$ must be of the form $\infty \rightarrow v_{1} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow v_{k} \leftarrow \infty$ or $\infty \rightarrow v_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_{k} \leftarrow \infty$. As above, we choose the vertices in the finite interval $\left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{n+1}{n}\right]$. By Theorem $3, v_{1}=\frac{1}{n}$ or $\frac{n+1}{n}$. If $v_{1}=\frac{1}{n}$, then, as above, $v_{k}$ must be $\frac{n+1}{n}$. In this case $D$ has the form $\infty \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \frac{n+1}{n} \leftarrow \infty$. As 1 is not a vertex in $H_{1, n}$, Corollary 2 implies that such a circuit $D$ does not occur. Similarly, we can show that if $v_{1}=\frac{n+1}{n}$, then there does not exist a circuit $D$ like $\infty \rightarrow \frac{n+1}{n} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \leftarrow \infty$. Therefore $H_{1, n}$ is a forest. Using Theorem 5(3), we see that the subgraph $H_{n-1, n}$ is a forest as well. Therefore the proof is completed.

Theorem 12 If $H_{u, n}$ contains a triangle, then $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ contains an elliptic element of order 3 .

Proof If $H_{u, n}$ contains a triangle, then by Theorem $9, n=1$. So, $\Gamma_{1}(1)=\Gamma$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1 \\ 3 & -2\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{1}(1)$ is an elliptic element of order 3.

Remark 1 In general, the converse of Theorem 12 is not true. For example, the element $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1 \\ 3 & -2\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{1}(3)$ is an elliptic element of order 3, but $H_{1,3}$ does not contain a triangle. And also, by Theorem 5(3), $H_{2,3}$ does not contain a triangle either.

Remark 2 In [5], it is shown that the elliptic elements in $\Gamma_{0}(n)$ correspond to circuits in the subgraph $F_{u, n}$ of the same order and vice versa. Here, in the case of $\Gamma_{1}(n)$, owing to Theorem 12 triangles in the subgraph $H_{u, n}$ correspond to elliptic elements in $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ of order 3. But the converse is not true as shown in Remark 1.

Theorem $13 H_{u, n}$ contains a 2-gon if and only if $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ contains an elliptic element of order 2.

Proof If $H_{u, n}$ contains a 2-gon, then by Theorem 10, $n=1$ or 2 . So, $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1\end{array}\right)$ is an elliptic element of order 2 in both $\Gamma_{1}(1)$ and $\Gamma_{1}(2)$.
Conversely, assume that $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ contains an elliptic element of order 2 . Then there is an element of $\Gamma_{1}(n)$ of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+a n & b \\ c n & 1+d n\end{array}\right)$ such that $2+(a+d) n=0$. From this we get $n=1$ or 2 . Hence, the proof now follows from Theorem 10.
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