

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Group-2-groupoids and 2G-crossed modules

Nazmiye Alemdar<sup>\*1</sup>, Sedat Temel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Erciyes University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Kayseri 38039, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Rize 53100, Turkey

### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a group-2-groupoid as a group object in the category of 2-groupoids. We also obtain a 2G-crossed module by using the structure of a group-2-groupoid. Then we prove that the category GP2GD of group-2-groupoids and the category 2GXMOD of 2G-crossed modules are equivalent.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 18D05, 18D35, 20J15, 20L05

Keywords. group-2-groupoid, 2G-crossed module

#### 1. Introduction

A groupoid is a small category whose all morphisms are invertible [7,9]. A groupoid can be thought of as a group with many objects and also a group is a groupoid with a single object [6]. A group object in the category of groupoids is called a 2-group [4], (resp. "9-groupoid" in [7] and "group-groupoid" in [11]). For further information on the 2-group, see [3–5,7,12,14]. This definition was generalized to ring-groupoid in [11] and to R-Module groupoid in [1]. Recently the concepts of normal and quotient objects in the category of 2-groups have been obtained by Mucuk et al. [13].

Crossed modules defined by Whitehead can be viewed as 2-dimensional groups [16, 17]. In [7], Brown and Spencer proved that the category of 2-groups is equivalent to the category of crossed modules of groups. And so a crossed module is essentially the same thing as a 2-group. This result was generalized to the crossed modules and internal groupoids in some algebraic categories including groups in [15]. Also this result was proved for the category of topological 2-groups and the category of topological crossed modules in [5].

A 2-group can be thought of as a 2-category with one object in which all 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms are invertible [3,14]. The 2-categorical approach to 2-groups is a powerful conceptual tool. However, for explicit calculations it is often useful to treat 2-groups as crossed modules [3].

In Section 3, we have inspired by the work of Brown and Spencer [7], and then we define the group-2-groupoid as a group object in the category of 2-categories. The main goal of this paper is to investigate how a group-2-groupoid corresponds to an algebraic structure similar to crossed modules. For this purpose, we first introduce 2G-crossed

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author.

Email addresses: nakari@erciyes.edu.tr (N. Alemdar), stemel@erciyes.edu.tr (S. Temel) Received: 12.02.2018; Accepted: 03.04.2018

modules as an analogue of crossed modules given in [7]. Then we also define morphisms of group-2-groupoids and 2G-crossed modules. Finally, we prove that the category GP2GD of group-2-groupoids and the category 2GXMOD of 2G-crossed modules are equivalent.

### 2. Preliminaries

The following definition is given in [3].

Definition 2.1. A 2-category C consists of

- objects  $X, Y, Z, \dots$
- 1-morphisms:  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$
- 2-morphisms:  $X \underbrace{ \downarrow \alpha}_{f'} Y$

1-morphisms can be composed as in a category, and 2-morphisms can be composed in two distinct ways: horizontally:

$$X \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} f \\ \psi \alpha \\ f' \end{array}}_{f'} Y \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} g \\ \psi \beta \\ g' \end{array}}_{g'} Z = X \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} g \circ f \\ \psi \beta \circ_h \alpha \\ g' \circ f' \end{array}}_{g' \circ f'} Z$$

and vertically:

$$X \xrightarrow{f' \\ \psi \alpha' \\ f'' \\ f''' \\ f'' \\$$

A few simple axioms must hold for this to be a 2-category:

• Composition of 1-morphisms must be associative, and every object X must have a 1-morphism

$$X \xrightarrow{1_X} X$$

serving as an identity for composition, just as in an ordinary category.

• Vertical composition must be associative, and every 1-morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  must have a 2-morphism

$$X \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} f \\ \downarrow 1_f \\ f \end{array}}_{f} Y$$

serving as an identity for vertical composition.

• Horizontal composition must be associative, and the 2-morphism

$$X \underbrace{\qquad \qquad }_{1_X}^{1_X} X$$

must serve as an identity for horizontal composition.

• Vertical composition and horizontal composition of 2-morphisms must satisfy the following *interchange law:* 

$$(\beta' \circ_v \beta) \circ_h (\alpha' \circ_v \alpha) = (\beta' \circ_h \alpha') \circ_v (\beta \circ_h \alpha).$$

so that diagrams of the form



define unambiguous 2-morphisms.

Here are some examples of 2-categories.

- The category of small categories CAT is a 2-category whose objects are small categories, 1-morphisms are functors and 2-morphisms are natural transformations between functors [2].
- The category of topological spaces TOP form a 2-category with homotopies between maps as 2-morphisms [2].
- Every category is a 2-category whose 2-morphisms are identity [14].

A 2-functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  between two 2-categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a triple of functions sending objects 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$  to items of the same types in  $\mathcal{D}$  so as to preserve all the categorical structures (source, target, identities, and composites) [10].

Thus, small 2-categories and 2-functors between them form a category which is denoted by 2CAT [14].

A 2-groupoid is a 2-category  $\mathcal{G}$  in which every 1-morphism and every 2-morphism have inverses [14]. So a 2-groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$  has a set  $G_0$  of objects, a set  $G_1$  of 1-morphisms and a set  $G_2$  of 2-morphisms together with the source and target maps

$$x \underbrace{ \downarrow \alpha }_{b} y$$

$$s_1, t_1 \colon G_1 \longrightarrow G_0, \quad s_1(a) = x, \quad t_1(a) = y,$$
  

$$s_2, t_2 \colon G_2 \longrightarrow G_0, \quad s_2(\alpha) = x, \quad t_2(\alpha) = y,$$
  

$$s_3, t_3 \colon G_2 \longrightarrow G_1, \quad s_3(\alpha) = a, \quad t_3(\alpha) = b,$$

and the identity maps

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 \colon G_0 &\longrightarrow G_1, \quad \varepsilon_1(x) = 1_x, \\ \varepsilon_2 \colon G_0 &\longrightarrow G_2, \quad \varepsilon_2(x) = 1_{1_x}, \\ \varepsilon_3 \colon G_1 &\longrightarrow G_2, \quad \varepsilon_3(a) = 1_a, \end{aligned}$$

such that the following diagram commute for all objects



If  $a, b \in G_1$ ,  $s_1(b) = t_1(a)$  and  $\alpha, \alpha', \beta \in G_2$ ,  $s_2(\beta) = t_2(\alpha)$  and  $s_3(\alpha') = t_3(\alpha)$  then the composition maps

$$\circ: G_1 \ {}_{s_1} \times_{t_1} G_1 \longrightarrow G_1,$$
  
$$\circ_h: G_2 \ {}_{s_2} \times_{t_2} G_2 \longrightarrow G_2,$$
  
$$\circ_v: G_2 \ {}_{s_3} \times_{t_3} G_2 \longrightarrow G_2,$$

exist such that,  $s_1(b \circ a) = s_1(a)$ ,  $t_1(b \circ a) = t_1(b)$ ,  $s_2(\beta \circ_h \alpha) = s_2(\alpha)$ ,  $t_2(\beta \circ_h \alpha) = s_2(\beta)$ ,  $s_3(\alpha' \circ_v \alpha) = s_3(\alpha)$  and  $t_3(\alpha' \circ_v \alpha) = t_3(\alpha')$ . Further, these partial compositions are associative, for  $x \in G_0$  and  $a \in G_1$  the elements  $\varepsilon_1(x) = 1_x$ ,  $\varepsilon_2(x) = 1_{1_x}$  and  $\varepsilon_3(a) = 1_a$ act as the identities and each 1-morphism a has an inverse  $\bar{a}$  and each 2-morphism  $\alpha$  has a horizontal inverse  $\bar{\alpha}^h$  and a vertical inverse  $\bar{\alpha}^v$  such that

$$x \underbrace{\stackrel{a}{\underset{b}{\forall \alpha}} y \underbrace{\stackrel{\overline{a}}{\underset{\overline{b}}{\forall \overline{a}^{h}}}_{\overline{b}} x}_{a} = x \underbrace{\stackrel{1_{x}}{\underset{1_{x}}{\forall 1_{1_{x}}}} x}_{1_{x}} x$$

The maps

$$\eta_1 \colon G_1 \longrightarrow G_1, \quad \eta_1(a) = \bar{a},$$
  
$$\eta_2 \colon G_2 \longrightarrow G_2, \quad \eta_2(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}^h,$$
  
$$\eta_3 \colon G_2 \longrightarrow G_2, \quad \eta_3(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}^v$$

are called the inversions.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $G_0$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be the sets  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , respectively. We assume that both pairs  $(\overline{x}, y)$  and  $(\overline{x}, y + kn)$  are 1-morphisms from  $\overline{x}$  to  $\overline{x+y}$  (for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and the triple  $(\overline{x}, y, y + kn)$  is a 2-morphism from  $(\overline{x}, y)$  to  $(\overline{x}, y + kn)$  as follows:

$$\overline{x} \underbrace{(\overline{x}, y)}_{(\overline{x}, y, y+kn)} \overline{x+y} .$$

Then we can define the composition of 1-morphisms by

$$(\overline{x+y}, z) \circ (\overline{x}, y) = (\overline{x}, y+z),$$

the vertical composition of 2-morphisms (for any  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) by

$$(\overline{x}, y + k_2n, y + k_3n) \circ_v (\overline{x}, y + k_1n, y + k_2n) = (\overline{x}, y + k_1n, y + k_3n),$$

and the horizontal composition by

$$(\overline{x+y}, z, z+k_2n) \circ_h (\overline{x}, y, y+k_1n) = (\overline{x}, y+z, y+z+(k_1+k_2)n).$$

It is easy to prove that the vertical and horizontal compositions satisfy interchange law. For  $\overline{x} \in G_0$  and  $(\overline{x}, y) \in G_1$ , the identity morphisms are  $1_{\overline{x}} = (\overline{x}, 0)$  and  $1_{1_{\overline{x}}} = (\overline{x}, 0, 0)$ and  $1_{(\overline{x},y)} = (\overline{x}, y, y)$ . The inverse of  $(\overline{x}, y)$  under  $\circ$  is  $(\overline{x}, y) = (\overline{x+y}, -y)$ , the inverse of  $(\overline{x}, y, y + kn)$  under  $\circ_h$  is  $(\overline{x}, y, y + kn)^h = (\overline{x+y}, -y, -y - kn)$  and under  $\circ_v$ is  $(\overline{x}, y, y + kn)^v = (\overline{x}, y + kn, y)$ . Thus the triple  $(G_0, G_1, G_2)$  is a 2-groupoid.

A morphism of 2-groupoids is simply a 2-functor between the underlying 2-categories. Hence small 2-groupoids and their morphisms form a category which is denoted by 2GPD [14].

#### 3. Group-2-groupoids and 2G-crossed modules

We now define the group object in 2CAT similar to group object in CAT as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** A group object  $\mathcal{G}$  in 2CAT is a small 2-category  $\mathcal{G}$  equipped with the following 2-functors satisfying group axioms

(1) the product  $m: \mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ ,

$$x \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} a \\ \psi \alpha \\ b \end{array}}^{a} y , x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} a' \\ \psi \alpha' \\ b' \end{array}}^{a'} y' \mapsto xx' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ \psi \alpha \alpha' \\ bb' \end{array}}^{aa'} yy'$$

(2) the inverse  $inv: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$x \underbrace{\overset{a}{\underset{b}{\Downarrow} \alpha}}_{b} y \mapsto x^{-1} \underbrace{\overset{a^{-1}}{\underset{b^{-1}}{\Downarrow} \alpha^{-1}}}_{b^{-1}} y^{-1}$$

(3) the unit  $\varepsilon$ : {\*}  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$  (where {\*} is the terminal object in 2CAT).

**Remark 3.2.** The one-object discrete category (i.e. every morphism is an identity) is the terminal object of the category of small categories CAT [8]. Similarly, the category {\*} which is defined as terminal object of 2CAT above, is the one-object discrete 2-category (i.e. every 1-morphism and every 2-morphism is an identity).

In terms of group object in 2CAT, a group-2-groupoid can be obtained in the following way:

**Proposition 3.3.** A group object *G* in 2CAT is a 2-groupoid.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a group object in 2CAT. Then 2-functors  $m: \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  called product,  $inv: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  called inverse and  $\varepsilon: \{*\} \to \mathcal{G}$  (where  $\{*\}$  is the terminal object in

2CAT ) called unit satisfying the usual group axioms. The product of  $x \underbrace{\Downarrow \alpha}_{h} y$  and

$$x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} a' \\ b' \end{array}}_{b'} y' \text{ is written as } xx' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{bb'} yy', \text{ the inverse of } x \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array}}_{b} y \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{bb'} yy', \text{ the inverse of } x \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array}}_{b} y \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written as } x' \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} aa' \\ bb' \end{array}}_{b} y' \text{ is written a$$

Let  $\circ$ ,  $\circ_h$  and  $\circ_v$  be the composition of 1-morphisms, the horizontal composition and the vertical compositions of 2-morphisms in  $\mathcal{G}$ , respectively. To prove  $\mathcal{G}$  is a 2-groupoid, we have to show that all 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms in  $\mathcal{G}$  have inverses for compositions  $\circ$ ,  $\circ_h$  and  $\circ_v$ .

The 2-functor m gives interchange laws

$$(c \circ a)(c' \circ a') = (cc') \circ (aa'),$$
$$(\beta \circ_h \alpha)(\beta' \circ_h \alpha') = (\beta\beta') \circ_h (\alpha\alpha')$$
$$(\delta \circ_v \alpha)(\delta' \circ_v \alpha') = (\delta\delta') \circ_v (\alpha\alpha')$$

whenever  $c \circ a$ ,  $c' \circ a'$ ,  $\beta \circ_h \alpha$ ,  $\beta' \circ_h \alpha'$ ,  $\delta \circ_v \alpha$  and  $\delta' \circ_v \alpha'$  are defined.

In [7], it was proved that  $c \circ a = a 1_y^{-1} c = c 1_y^{-1} a$  and  $\overline{a} = 1_x a^{-1} 1_y$  is the inverse of a under  $\circ$ .

We also give the following relations for the horizontal and vertical composition of 2morphisms just the same way as in [7]; For horizontal composition, we have

$$\beta \circ_h \alpha = (\beta 1_{1_e}) \circ_h (1_{1_y} 1_{1_y}^{-1} \alpha) = (\beta \circ_h 1_{1_y})(1_{1_e} \circ_h (1_{1_y}^{-1} \alpha)) = \beta 1_{1_y}^{-1} \alpha$$
(3.1)

and similarly

$$\beta \circ_h \alpha = \alpha \mathbf{1}_{1_u}^{-1} \beta. \tag{3.2}$$

So it is easy to see from (3.1) and (3.2) that  $\overline{\alpha}^h = 1_{1_x} \alpha^{-1} 1_{1_y}$  is the inverse of  $\alpha$  under  $\circ_h$ . For the vertical composition, we have

$$\delta \circ_v \alpha = (\delta 1_{1_e}) \circ_v (1_b 1_b^{-1} \alpha) = (\delta \circ_v 1_b)(1_{1_e} \circ_v 1_b^{-1} \alpha) = \delta 1_b^{-1} \alpha$$
(3.3)

and

$$\delta \circ_v \alpha = \alpha 1_h^{-1} \delta. \tag{3.4}$$

And also it is easy to see from (3.3) and (3.4) that  $\overline{\alpha}^v = 1_b \alpha^{-1} 1_a$  is the inverse of  $\alpha$  under  $\circ_v$ .

Hence any group object in 2CAT is a 2-groupoid.

Furthermore, if y = e, then  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$ ; hence the elements of Kers<sub>2</sub> and Kert<sub>2</sub> commute under the group operation. In [7], it was proved that if  $a, a_1 \in \text{Kers}_1$  and  $t_1(a) = x$ , then  $aa_1a^{-1} = 1$   $a_11^{-1}$ 

$$aa_1a^{-1} = 1_xa_11_x^{-1}$$

Similarly, we show that if  $\alpha, \alpha_1 \in \text{Ker}s_2$  and  $t_2(\alpha) = x$ , then

$$\alpha \alpha_1 \alpha^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{1_x} \alpha_1 \mathbf{1}_{1_x}^{-1}.$$
(3.5)

**Definition 3.4.** A group object in the category of 2-groupoids is called a *group-2-groupoid*. **Example 3.5.**  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a group-2-groupoid with the following

**Example 3.5.**  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a group-2-groupoid with the following 2-functors:

- $\oplus$ :  $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $(\overline{x_1}, y_1, z_1) \oplus (\overline{x_2}, y_2, z_2) = (\overline{x_1 + x_2}, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2)$
- $inv: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}, \ (\overline{x}, y, z)^{-1} = (\overline{n-x}, -y, -z)$
- $\varepsilon \colon \{*\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}, \quad e = \overline{\mathfrak{O}}, \quad 1_e = (\overline{\mathfrak{O}}, 0), \quad 1_{1_e} = (\overline{\mathfrak{O}}, 0, 0).$

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$  and  $\mathcal{H} = (H_0, H_1, H_2)$  be group-2-groupoids and let  $F = (f_0, f_1, f_2) \colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$  be a 2-functor. If F preserves the group structures, then it is called a *morphism of group-2-groupoids*.

So group-2-groupoids and morphisms of them form a category which is denoted by GP2GD.

The following theorem was proved by Brown and Spencer in [7]:

**Theorem 3.7.** The category of 2-groups and the category of crossed modules are equivalent.

**Remark 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$  be a group-2-groupoid and  $s_1, t_1$  be the source and target maps from  $G_1$  to  $G_0$ . Let  $M = \text{Kers}_1$ ,  $N = G_0$  and  $\partial_1 = t_1|_M$ . It was proved in Theorem 3.7 that  $(M, N, \partial_1, \bullet)$  is a crossed module with the action  $(x, a) \mapsto x \bullet a = 1_x a 1_x^{-1}$  of the group N on the group M and the map  $\partial_1 = t_1|_M$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$  be a group-2-groupoid and  $s_2, t_2$  be the source and target maps from  $G_2$  to  $G_0$ . Then  $(Kers_2, G_0, t_2|_L)$  is a crossed module.

**Proof.** Let  $L = \text{Kers}_2$ ,  $N = G_0$ . Then L, N inherit group structures from that of  $\mathcal{G}$  and the map  $\partial_2 = t_2|_L \colon L \to N$  is a morphism of groups. Further we have an action  $(x, \alpha) \mapsto x \blacktriangleright \alpha$  of N on the group L given by  $x \blacktriangleright \alpha = 1_{1_x} \alpha 1_{1_x}^{-1}$ . It is easy to show that  $\partial_2(x \blacktriangleright \alpha) = x \partial_2(\alpha) x^{-1}$  and  $\partial_2(\alpha) \blacktriangleright \alpha_1 = \alpha \alpha_1 \alpha^{-1}$  by using (3.5). Thus  $(L, N, \partial_2, \blacktriangleright)$  is a crossed module.

1393

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$  be a group-2-groupoid,  $t_3$  be the target map from  $G_2$  to  $G_1$  and  $(M, N, \partial_1, \bullet)$ ,  $(L, N, \partial_2, \bullet)$  be crossed modules which corresponds to the group-2-groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  as above. Then  $\partial_3 = t_3|_L \colon L \to M$  is a surjective morphism of groups which preserves actions of crossed modules.

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a group-2-groupoid, then  $t_3$  is a morphism of groups. Therefore, the  $\partial_3 = t_3|_L \colon L \to M$  which is the restriction of  $t_3$ , is also a morphism of groups. And for any 1-morphism  $a \in M$ , there is a 2-morphism  $\alpha \in L$  such that  $\partial_3(\alpha) = t_3|_L(a)$ . So, the group morphism  $\partial_3$  is surjective. It is clear that  $t_2 = t_1t_3$  and so  $\partial_2 = \partial_1\partial_3$ . Since  $\partial_3$  is a group morphism, we obtain

$$\partial_3(x \blacktriangleright \alpha) = \partial_3(1_{1_x}\alpha 1_{1_x}^{-1}) = \partial_3(1_{1_x})\partial_3(\alpha)\partial_3(1_{1_x}^{-1}) = 1_x\partial_3(\alpha)1_x^{-1} = x \bullet \partial_3(\alpha).$$

From Remark 3.8, Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.10, we can define a new structure of crossed modules which corresponds to group-2-groupoids as follows:

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $(M, N, \partial_1, \bullet)$  and  $(L, N, \partial_2, \blacktriangleright)$  be crossed modules. A 2*G*-crossed module  $(L, M, N, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \bullet, \blacktriangleright)$  is a pair  $(M, N, \partial_1, \bullet)$ ,  $(L, N, \partial_2, \blacktriangleright)$  of crossed modules with a surjective morphism of groups  $\partial_3 \colon L \to M$  which satisfies the following axioms:

(1)  $\partial_2 = \partial_1 \partial_3$ (2)  $\partial_3(n \triangleright l) = n \bullet \partial_3(l)$ , for  $n \in N, l \in L$ .



**Definition 3.12.** Let  $K = (L, M, N, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$  and  $K' = (L', M', N', \partial'_1, \partial'_2, \partial'_3)$  be 2Gcrossed modules. A morphism  $(f_3, f_2, f_1) \colon K \to K'$  of 2G-crossed modules is a pair  $(f_2, f_1) \colon (M, N, \partial_1, \bullet) \to (M', N', \partial'_1, \bullet'), \quad (f_3, f_1) \colon (L, N, \partial_2, \blacktriangleright) \to (L', N', \partial'_2, \blacktriangleright')$  of morphisms of crossed modules such that  $f_2 \partial_3 = \partial'_3 f_3$ .



Therefore, 2G-crossed modules and morphisms between them form a category which is denoted by 2GXMOD.

**Definition 3.13.** An *equivalence* between categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined to be a pair of functors  $S: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}, T: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$  together with natural isomorphisms  $1_{\mathcal{C}} \cong TS, 1_{\mathcal{D}} \cong ST$ , where  $1_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $1_{\mathcal{D}}$  are the identity functors [10].

**Theorem 3.14.** The category GP2GD of group-2-groupoids and the category 2GXMOD of 2G-crossed modules are equivalent.

#### **Proof.** A functor

$$\gamma : \text{GP2GD} \rightarrow 2\text{GXMOD}$$

is defined as follows: For a group-2-groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, G_2)$ , by using Remark 3.8, Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.10, we can define a 2G-crossed module  $\gamma(\mathcal{G})=K = (L, M, N, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$  which corresponds to the group-2-groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Conversely, define a functor

$$\psi \colon 2\mathrm{GXMod} \to \mathrm{Gp}2\mathrm{Gd}$$

in the following way. Let  $K = (L, M, N, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3, \bullet, \blacktriangleright)$  be a 2G-crossed module. A group-2-groupoid  $\psi(K)$  can be defined as follows. The group of objects of  $\psi(K)$  is N. The group of 1-morphisms of  $\psi(K)$  is the semi-direct product  $N \ltimes M$  with the group structure

$$(n,m)(n',m') = (nn',m(n \bullet m')).$$

The source and target maps are defined by  $s_1(n,m) = n$ ,  $t_1(n,m) = \partial_1(m)n$ , respectively and the identity 1-morphism of  $\circ$  is  $(n, e_M)$ , while the composition is defined by

$$(\partial_1(m)n, m_1) \circ (n, m) = (n, m_1m)$$

in [7]. Now the group of 2-morphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  can be defined the semi-direct product  $N \ltimes M \ltimes L$  with the group structure

$$(n, m, l)(n', m', l') = (nn', m(n \bullet m'), l(n \blacktriangleright l')).$$

If  $\partial_2(l) = \partial_2(k)$  then pairs  $(n, \partial_3(l))$  and  $(n, \partial_3(k))$  are 1-morphisms from n to  $\partial_2(l)n$ . Hence we can define 2-morphism  $(n, \partial_3(l), k)$  from  $(n, \partial_3(l))$  to  $(n, \partial_3(k))$  as follows:

$$n \xrightarrow{(n,\partial_3(l))} \partial_2(l)n.$$

The source and target maps of 2-morphisms can be defined by  $s_2(n, \partial_3(l), k) = n$ ,  $s_3(n, \partial_3(l), k) = (n, \partial_3(l))$ ,  $t_2(n, \partial_3(l), k) = \partial_2(l)n$ ,  $t_3(n, \partial_3(l), k) = (n, \partial_3(k))$ , respectively and the identity 2-morphism of  $\circ_h$  for  $n \in N$  is  $(n, e_M, e_L)$ , when the horizontal composition of 2-morphisms is defined by

$$(\partial_1(m)n, m_1, l_1) \circ_h (n, m, l) = (n, m_1m, l_1l).$$

If  $\partial_2(l) = \partial_2(k) = \partial_2(h)$ , then  $(n, \partial_3(k), h)$  is 2-morphism from  $(n, \partial_3(k))$  to  $(n, \partial_3(h))$  and the vertical composition of 2-morphisms is defined by

$$(n,\partial_3(k),h) \circ_v (n,\partial_3(l),k) = (n,\partial_3(l),h).$$

The identity 2-morphism of  $\circ_v$  for  $(n, \partial_3(l)) \in N \ltimes M$  is  $(n, \partial_3(l), l)$  and the inverse  $\overline{(n, \partial_3(l), k)}^v = (n, \partial_3(k), l)$ . Thus  $\psi(K) = (N, N \ltimes M, N \ltimes M \ltimes L)$  is a group-2-groupoid.

To define a natural isomorphism  $S: \psi\gamma \to 1_{\text{GP2GD}}$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a group-2-groupoid. A map  $S_{\mathcal{G}}: \psi\gamma(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{G}$  is defined to be the identity on objects, on 1-morphisms is given by  $a \mapsto (x, a1_x^{-1})$  and on 2-morphisms is given by  $\alpha \mapsto (x, a1_x^{-1}, \alpha 1_{1_x}^{-1})$ .

$$x \xrightarrow{a} (x,a1_x^{-1})$$

$$x \xrightarrow{\psi \alpha} x_1 \mapsto x \xrightarrow{(x,a1_x^{-1},\alpha1_{1_x}^{-1})} x_1 \cdot x_1$$

$$b \xrightarrow{(x,b1_x^{-1})} x_1 \cdot x_1$$

It is clear that  $S_{\mathcal{G}}$  is bijective on 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms and also preserves the group operation and compositions as follows:

$$S_{\mathfrak{Z}}(\alpha)S_{\mathfrak{Z}}(\alpha') = (x, a1_{x}^{-1}, \alpha 1_{1_{x}}^{-1})(x', a'1_{x'}^{-1}, \alpha' 1_{1_{x'}}^{-1})$$
  

$$= (xx', a1_{x}^{-1}(x \bullet a'1_{x'}^{-1}), \alpha 1_{1_{x}}^{-1}(x \bullet \alpha' 1_{1_{x'}}^{-1}))$$
  

$$= (xx', a1_{x}^{-1}1_{x}a'1_{x'}^{-1}1_{x}^{-1}, \alpha 1_{1_{x}}^{-1}1_{1_{x'}}^{-1}1_{1_{x}}^{-1})$$
  

$$= (xx', aa'1_{xx'}^{-1}, \alpha \alpha' 1_{1_{xx'}}^{-1})$$
  

$$= S_{\mathfrak{Z}}(\alpha \alpha'),$$

for 
$$x \underbrace{\swarrow}_{b}^{a} x_{1} \underbrace{\swarrow}_{b_{1}}^{a_{1}} x_{2}$$

$$\begin{split} S_{\mathcal{G}}(a_{1} \circ a) &= S_{\mathcal{G}}(a_{1} 1_{x_{1}}^{-1} a) = (x, a_{1} 1_{x_{1}}^{-1} a 1_{x}^{-1}) = (x_{1}, a_{1} 1_{x_{1}}^{-1}) \circ (x, a 1_{x}^{-1}) = S_{\mathcal{G}}(a_{1}) \circ S_{\mathcal{G}}(a), \\ S_{\mathcal{G}}(\alpha_{1} \circ_{h} \alpha) &= S_{\mathcal{G}}(\alpha_{1} 1_{1_{x_{1}}}^{-1} \alpha) = (x, a_{1} 1_{x_{1}}^{-1} a 1_{x}^{-1}, \alpha_{1} 1_{1_{x_{1}}}^{-1} \alpha 1_{1_{x_{1}}}^{-1}) = S_{\mathcal{G}}(\alpha_{1}) \circ_{h} S_{\mathcal{G}}(\alpha) \text{ and for } \end{split}$$

$$x \xrightarrow[]{b \ \beta \ \pi}^{a} x_1 \text{ and } x \xrightarrow[]{b \ b \ b \ \mu}^{b} x_1$$

$$\begin{split} S_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta \circ_{v} \alpha) &= S_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta \mathbf{1}_{b}^{-1} \alpha) &= (x, a\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \beta \mathbf{1}_{b}^{-1} \alpha \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1}) \\ &= (x, a\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \beta \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1} (\mathbf{1}_{b} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1})^{-1} \alpha \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1}) \\ &= (x, b\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \beta \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1}) (x, b\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \mathbf{1}_{b} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1})^{-1} (x, a\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \alpha \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1}) \\ &= (x, b\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \beta \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1}) \circ_{v} (x, a\mathbf{1}_{x}^{-1}, \alpha \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}_{x}}^{-1}) \\ &= S_{\mathcal{G}}(\beta) \circ_{v} S_{\mathcal{G}}(\alpha). \end{split}$$

Finally, we define a natural isomorphism  $T: 1_{2GXMOD} \to \gamma \psi$ , as follows: If  $K = (L, M, N, \partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$  is a 2G-crossed module, then  $T_K$  is the identity on N, on M is given by  $m \mapsto (e_N, m)$  and on L is given by  $l \mapsto (e_N, e_M, l)$ . Clearly  $T_K$  is bijective and preserves the group operations as follows:

$$T_K(m)T_K(m') = (e_N, m)(e_N, m') = (e_N, m(e_N \bullet m')) = (e_N, mm') = T_K(mm'),$$

$$T_K(l)T_K(l') = (e_N, e_M, l)(e_N, e_M, l') = ((e_N, e_M, l(e_N \triangleright l')) = (e_N, e_M, ll') = T_K(ll').$$

Hence, by Defination 3.13, the category GP2GD of group-2-groupoids and the category 2GXMOD of 2G-crossed modules are equivalent.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the referee for his/her useful and kind suggestions.

## References

- N. Alemdar and O. Mucuk, The Liftings of R-Modules to Covering Groupoid, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 41 (6), 813-822, 2012.
- [2] J.C. Baez, An Introduction to n-Categories, in: Moggi E., Rosolini G. (eds) Category Theory and Computer Science, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1290, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997, https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0026978.
- [3] J.C. Baez, A. Baratin, L. Freidel and D.K. Wise, *Infinite-Dimensional Representa*tions of 2-Groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 219, Number 1032, 2012.
- [4] J.C. Baez and A.D. Lauda, *Higher-dimensional Algebra*. V. 2-groups, Theory Appl. Categ. 12, 423-491, 2004.

- [5] J.C. Baez and D. Stevenson The Classifying Space of a Topological 2-Group, in: Algebraic Topology. Abel Symposia 4, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [6] R. Brown, From Groups to Groupoids: A Brief Survey, Bull. London Math. Soc. 19, 113-134, 1987.
- [7] R. Brown and C.B. Spencer, *G-groupoids, Crossed Modules and The Fundamental Groupoid of a Topological Group*, Proc. Konn. Ned. Akad. v. Wet. **79**, 296-302 1976.
- [8] M. Forrester-Barker, *Group objects and internal categories*, Preprint arXiv: math/0212065v1, 2002.
- [9] P.J. Higgins, Categories and Groupoids, Van Nostrand, New York, 1971.
- [10] S. Maclane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, Graduate Text in Mathematics, Vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [11] O. Mucuk, Coverings and ring-groupoids, Geor. Math. J. 5, 475-482, 1998.
- [12] O. Mucuk, B. Bagriyanik, N. Alemdar and T. Şahan, Group-Groupoids And Monodromy Groupoids, Topology Appl. 158 (15), 2034-2042, 2011.
- [13] O. Mucuk, T. Şahan and N. Alemdar, Normality and Quotients in Crossed Modules and Group-groupoids, Appl. Categor. Struct. 23 (3), 415-428, 2015.
- [14] B. Noohi, Notes on 2-Groupoids, 2-Groups and Crossed Modules, Homology Homotopy Appl. 9 (1), 75-106, 2007.
- [15] T. Porter, Extensions, Crossed Modules and Internal Categories in Categories of Groups With Operations, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 30, 371-381, 1987.
- [16] J.H.C. Whitehead, Note on a previous paper entitled "On adding relations to homotopy group", Ann. Math. 47, 806-810, 1946.
- [17] J.H.C. Whitehead, Combinatorial homotopy II, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55, 453-496, 1949.