

RESEARCH LETTER

OPEN

The future of International Classification of Diseases coding in steatotic liver disease: An expert panel Delphi consensus statement

Hannes Hagström^{1,2} | Leon A. Adams³ | Alina M. Allen⁴ | Christopher D. Byrne^{5,6} | Yoosoo Chang⁷ | Ajay Duseja⁸ | Henning Grønbaek⁹ | Mona H. Ismail^{10,11} | Peter Jepsen⁹ | Fasiha Kanwal¹² | Jennifer Kramer¹² | Rohit Loomba¹³ | Henry E. Mark¹⁴ | Philip N. Newsome^{15,16} | Mary E. Rinella¹⁷ | Ian A. Rowe¹⁸ | Seungho Ryu^{7,19} | Arun Sanyal²⁰ | Jörn M. Schattenberg²¹ | Marina Serper²² | Nick Sheron²³ | Tracey G. Simon^{24,25} | C. Wendy Spearman²⁶ | Elliot B. Tapper²⁷ | Marcela Villota-Rivas²⁸ | Sarah H. Wild²⁹ | Vincent Wai-Sun Wong³⁰ | Yusuf Yilmaz³¹ | Shira Zelber-Sagi^{32,33} | Fredrik Åberg^{34,35} | Jeffrey V. Lazarus^{28,36,37}

¹Department of Upper GI, Division of Hepatology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Medicine, Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

³Medical School, the University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

⁴Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

⁵Nutrition and Metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

⁶Southampton National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK

⁷Center for Cohort Studies, Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁸Department of Hepatology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

⁹Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, King Fahd Hospital of the University, Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia

¹¹College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

¹²Baylor College of Medicine and Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, Texas, USA

¹³NAFLD Research Center, Division of Gastroenterology and Epidemiology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

¹⁴Independent consultant, Nottingham, UK

¹⁵National Institute for Health Research Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

¹⁶Centre for Liver and Gastrointestinal Research, Institute of Immunology and Immunotherapy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

¹⁷Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

¹⁸Leeds Institute for Medical Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

¹⁹Department of Clinical Research Design and Evaluation, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

²⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Stravitz-Sanyal Institute of Liver Disease and Metabolic Health, VCU School of Medicine and Health System and Division of Gastroenterology, VCU School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia, USA

²¹Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital of Saarland, Homburg, Germany

²²Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

²³The Roger Williams Institute of Hepatology, Foundation for Liver Research, Kings College London

²⁴Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²⁵Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²⁶Department of Medicine, Division of Hepatology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

²⁷Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

²⁸Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

²⁹Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

³⁰Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

³¹Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Rize, Turkey

³²School of Public Health, Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel

³³Department of Gastroenterology, Tel Aviv Medical Centre, Tel Aviv, Israel

³⁴Transplantation and Liver Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

³⁵University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

³⁶CUNY Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy (CUNY SPH), New York, New York, USA

³⁷Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence

Hannes Hagström, C1:77, Department of Upper GI, Division of Hepatology, Karolinska University Hospital, SE-141 86 Stockholm, Sweden.

Email: hannes.hagstrom@ki.se

Abstract

Background: Following the adoption of new nomenclature for steatotic liver disease, we aimed to build consensus on the use of International Classification of Diseases codes and recommendations for future research and advocacy.

Methods: Through a two-stage Delphi process, a core group (n = 20) reviewed draft statements and recommendations (n = 6), indicating levels of agreement. Following revisions, this process was repeated with a large expert panel (n = 243) from 73 countries.

Results: Consensus ranged from 88.8% to 96.9% (mean = 92.3%).

Conclusions: This global consensus statement provides guidance on harmonizing the International Classification of Diseases coding for steatotic liver disease and future directions to advance the field.

INTRODUCTION

Nomenclature changes in the field of steatotic liver disease (SLD) were recently proposed and are currently being adopted by a wide range of stakeholders.^[1] Among the suggested modifications, the change from NAFLD to metabolic dysfunction–associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) reflects a drop of the “nonalcoholic” label, enabling the inclusion of positive diagnostic criteria while removing potentially stigmatizing classification. The intake of alcohol as a disease contributor is also acknowledged in the new nomenclature, with the introduction of the term

“MASLD and alcohol-associated liver disease (ALD)”, abbreviated as MASLD and ALD (MetALD).^[1] Moreover, the nomenclature process introduced new defining criteria for MASLD and MetALD. Whereas studies have demonstrated almost complete overlap between populations defined by NAFLD and MASLD criteria, the cutoffs for alcohol use in the MetALD definition remain to be clarified by future studies.^[2–4]

As a consequence of these nomenclature changes and to aid in their implementation, administrative coding will need to be adjusted. Globally, the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) coding system is the

Abbreviations: ALD, alcohol-associated liver disease; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; MASH, metabolic dysfunction–associated steatohepatitis; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction–associated steatotic liver disease; MetALD, MASLD and ALD; SLD, steatotic liver disease.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article. Direct URL citations are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website, www.hepcommjournal.com.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.

TABLE 1 Final consensus statements and recommendations

Statements	Grade	A (%)	SA (%)	A+SA (%)	SD (%)	D (%)	NQ (%)	N
1 MASLD is currently best coded using the ICD-10 code for NAFLD (K76.0).	B	67.4	21.5	88.8	5.6	5.6	4.1	233
2 MASH is currently best coded using the ICD-10 coding for NASH (K75.8 or K75.81, depending on the setting).	A	70.8	21.0	91.8	4.3	3.9	4.1	233
3 ALD is best coded using the "alcohol-associated liver disease" spectrum of ICD-10 codes (K70).	A	84.6	12.3	96.9	2.2	0.9	6.6	227
4 As no appropriate MetALD ICD-10 code exists, clinical research and health care professionals should use ICD coding for the more relevant part of MASLD/ALD on an individual basis while awaiting ICD-10/11 definition changes by the World Health Organization.	B	62.3	26.8	89.2	6.9	3.9	4.9	231
Recommendations								
5 Research should focus on identifying how to best distinguish between MASLD, MetALD, and ALD when using historical data sources (eg, register-based data).	A	68.5	22.7	91.2	5.9	2.9	2.1	238
6 International societies should advocate for a global update of ICD terminology by the World Health Organization to better reflect the nomenclature change, including separate diagnostic codes for MASLD, MASH, MetALD, ALD, and cryptogenic steatotic liver disease.	A	86.4	9.5	95.9	2.9	1.2	0.4	242
Mean % agreement		73.3	19.0	92.3				

Notes: Percentages may add up to more than 100 due to rounding. Grades are based on the percentage of combined agreement (agree+somewhat agree): A, 90%–99% combined agreement; B, 78%–89% combined agreement. Responses to each statement and recommendation are presented as percentages of the total responses.

Abbreviations: A, agree; ALD, alcohol-associated liver disease; D, disagree; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; MASH, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis; MASLD, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease; MetALD, MASLD and ALD; N, total number of responses; NQ, percentage of participants that indicated that they were not qualified to respond; SA, somewhat agree; SD, somewhat disagree.

most used. We thus aimed to build consensus on the appropriateness of using current ICD NAFLD and NASH codes to code MASLD and metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis (MASH), respectively. We also sought to develop recommendations to guide research and advocacy on amending future ICD codes for SLD. While ICD systems vary at the local level (eg, which version is in use), ICD-10 is currently the dominant system. Nonetheless, following its release in 2022, ICD-11 use will be gradually introduced over the coming years.

METHODS

We performed a two-stage Delphi process whereby, first, a core group of people ($n = 20$) indicated their agreement or disagreement with statements and recommendations ($n = 6$) (Supplemental Table 1, <http://links.lww.com/HC9/A809>) using "yes" to agree and "no" to disagree, through Microsoft Forms, from July 23 to August 6, 2023. Respondents were also invited to provide qualitative feedback on each item and overall, which was considered during item revisions. This group included individuals who had previously contributed to a consensus statement on the use of NAFLD ICD codes in research^[5] and key opinion leaders involved in the nomenclature change.

The second stage involved inviting a panel of individuals with SLD experience to indicate their level of agreement ("agree," "somewhat agree," "somewhat disagree," or "disagree") with the modified items ($n = 6$) (Table 1), using the described methodology,^[6] through Qualtrics XM, from October 6–23, 2023. Respondents were also invited to provide qualitative feedback on each item and overall, which was considered during manuscript writing. Invitees who were not familiar with ICD codes and their use could opt out. Respondents who did not feel qualified to indicate their level of agreement with a survey item could choose the option "not qualified to respond." For the purposes of this study, we defined reaching consensus as having > 80% agreement on each item, with overall agreement being the sum of the "agree" and "somewhat agree" categories in stage 2.

Ethical considerations

This study received ethical review exemption from the Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, Spain, ethics committee on October 4, 2023. All research was conducted in accordance with the Declarations of Helsinki and Istanbul. Respondents consented to participating, and data were anonymized for all analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 479 individuals were invited to participate in stage 2, of whom 269 (56.2%) responded. Of these, 26 (9.7%) opted out as they were not familiar with ICD codes and their use. The 243 respondents (90.3%) who completed the survey worked in 73 countries and had a mean age of 53.9 (SD: 9.4). Most respondents were male (65.4%), worked in high-income countries (66.3%) and in the Europe and Central Asia World Bank region (41.2%), and primarily worked in academia (67.9%) and as clinicians/medical doctors (72.8%) (Supplemental Table 2, <http://links.lww.com/HC9/A809>, contains further panelist details).

In stage 2, consensus ranged from 88.8% to 96.9% (mean = 92.3%). Four items had < 80% “agree” responses and relied more heavily on the “somewhat agree” category to reach a consensus. A total of 351 qualitative comments were provided across items. There was \geq 88.8% consensus that MASLD, MASH, and ALD are currently best coded with K76.0, K75.8, or K75.81, and the K70 spectrum of ICD-10 codes, respectively. As for MetALD, which has no ICD code as it was newly introduced, 89.2% agreed that using ICD coding for the perceived dominant disease driver (MASLD or ALD) on an individual basis was preferable while awaiting updates to the ICD system. In terms of recommendations, 91.2% of participants agreed that research should prioritize how best to distinguish between MASLD, MetALD, and ALD when using historical data. Furthermore, the consensus that international societies should advocate for a global update of ICD terminology to better reflect the SLD nomenclature changes was 86.4%.

DISCUSSION

This study found that, among a large panel of experts working across 73 countries, there was a high degree of consensus that NAFLD and NASH ICD codes can be updated to reflect the new MASLD and MASH names and definitions, respectively, without the need for new codes. Renaming the administrative terms across various systems and countries to reflect the nomenclature change should be a priority. This is important, as introducing coding changes may lead to considerable difficulties in comparing study results and interpreting disease epidemiology patterns across settings and over time. It should be noted that definition and ICD code modifications will not mitigate the challenge of correctly calculating the amount of alcohol consumed by patients, but we hope that the recommendation of focusing research on identifying how to best distinguish between MASLD, MetALD, and ALD will promote investigations around this topic. Further work to introduce novel ICD codes to specifically define

MetALD is needed, which may be achieved through discussions with national and regional norm-setting bodies and the World Health Organization, which maintains and updates the ICD system.

CONCLUSIONS

This global expert consensus statement recommends that the currently available ICD codes for NAFLD and NASH can be used to define MASLD and MASH, respectively, although advocacy is needed to update ICD terminology to better reflect the nomenclature change and introduce new codes for MetALD specifically.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Hannes Hagström and Jeffrey V. Lazarus: Study conception and design; MVR: Statistical analysis; Hannes Hagström, Marcela Villota-Rivas, HEM, and Jeffrey V. Lazarus: Drafting of the manuscript; All: Acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data; and critical revision

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Assistance with the study: The authors thank all the additional panel members: Abdelmounem E. Abdo, Fredrik Åberg, Leon A. Adams, Reda M. Albadawy, Zinaida Alexa, Maryam S. Alkhatry, Naim Alkhouri, Alina M. Allen, Michael Allison, Khalid M. AlNaamani, Faisal A. Alnaser, Saleh A. Alqahtani, Khalid A. Alswat, Mario R. Alvares-da-Silva, Domenico Alvaro, Quentin M. Anstee, Juan Pablo Arab, Matthew J. Armstrong, Marco Arrese, Diego Arufe, Oidov Baatarkhuu, Gyorgy Baffy, Shokhista R. Bakieva, Louise A. Baur, Cynthia Behling, Renata Belfort-DeAguiar, Carlos E. Benítez, Thomas Berg, Annalisa Berzigotti, Ulrich Beuers, Cristiana Bianco, Emanuele Bosi, Paul N. Brennan, Elisabetta Bugianesi, Patrizia Burra, Christopher D. Byrne, Maria C. Cabrera, Rotonya M. Carr, Patrizia Carrieri, Marlen I. Castellanos Fernandez, Laurent Castera, Maria G. Castillo-Lopez, Graciela E. Castro-Narro, Eira Cerda-Reyes, Wah Kheong Chan, Yoosoo Chang, Phunchai Charatcharoenwittaya, Norberto Chavez-Tapia, Raymond T. Chung, Andreea Ciudin, Kirsten J. Coppel, Helena Cortez-Pinto, Helma P. Cotrim, Javier Crespo, Anuradha S. Dassanayake, Nicholas O. Davidson, Robert J. de Knegt, Victor de Ledinghen, Münevver Demir, Moises Diago, Javier O. Díaz, Gabriel S. Diaz, John F. Dillon, Bruce D. Dimmig, Melisa Dirchwolf, Ajay Duseja, Karel Dvorak, Mattias Ekstedt, Mohamed El-Kassas, Osama M. Elsanousi, Ahmed M. Elsharkawy, Gamal E. Esmat, Jian-Gao Fan, Maria Lucia Ferraz, Davide Fortin, Yasser M. Fouad, Sven M. Francque, Scott L. Friedman, Michael Fuchs, Amalia Gastaldelli, Anja Geerts, Andreas Geier, Hasmik L. Ghazinyan, George B. B. Goh, Nicolas Goossens,

Martin M. Grajower, Henning Grønbaek, Hannes Hagström, Nelia Hernandez, Samantha L. Hocking, Terry T.-K. Huang, Ramazan Idilman, Scott D. Isaacs, Vasily Isakov, Mona H. Ismail, Helen Jarvis, Peter Jepsen, François R. Jornayvaz, Sudhamshu K.C., Satoru Kakizaki, Fasiha Kanwal, Jia-Hornng Kao, Saul J. Karpen, Takumi Kawaguchi, Seung Up Kim, David E. Kleiner, Ger H. Koek, Rohit Kohli, Loreta A. Kondili, Marko Korenjak, Aleksander Krag, Carina P. Kugelmas, Marcelo Kugelmas, Carlo La Vecchia, Brian P. Lam, Naomi F. Lange, Mariana Lazo, Nathalie C. Leite, Chun-Jen Liu, Undram Lkhagvaa, Patricio Lopez-Jaramillo, Adelina Lozano, Panu K. Luukkonen, Maria Paula Macedo, Mamun A. Mahtab, Giulio Marchesini, Sebastián Marciano, Sophia E. Martinez-Vazquez, Lyudmila Mateva Vladimirova, Charles N. Mbendi, Jeff J. McIntyre, Hailemichael D. Mekonnen, Juan M. Mendive, Luca Miele, Tamara Milovanovic, Tahiri Mohammed, Rosalba Moreno-Alcántar, Timothy R. Morgan, Ayesha A. Motala, Cynthia A. Moylan, Carla Musso, Edna J. Nava-González, Francesco Negro, Alexander V. Nersesov, Brent A. Neuschwander-Tetri, Philip N. Newsome, Dafina Nikolova, Mazen Nouredin, Katja Novak, Jude A. Oben, Claudia P. Oliveira, Janus P. Ong, Arlinking K. Ong-Go, Charles Asabamaka Onyekwere, P. Martin Padilla-Machaca, Raluca Pais, Calvin Q. Pan, Manas K. Panigrahi, George Papatheodoridis, Juan Paredes Méndez, Brian L. Pearlman, Juanita Pérez-Escobar, Juan M. Pericàs, Gianluca Perseghin, Mario G. Pessoa, Salvatore Petta, Massimo Pinzani, Nikolaos Pirsopoulos, Marek Rác, Alnoor Ramji, Marcus S. Ranney, Natarajan Ravendhran, Mary E. Rinella, Stuart K. Roberts, Stefano Romeo, Manuel Romero-Gomez, Ian A. Rowe, Shakhlo S. Sadirova, Riina Salupere, Nurgul Toktogulova, Sanjaya K. Sathapathy, Raymond B. Sayegh, Jörn M. Schattenberg, Giada Sebastiani, Lawrence Serfaty, Marina Serper, Lali Sharvadze, Jonathan Shaw, Kotacherry T. Shenoy, Nick Sheron, Oren Shibolet, Jay H. Shubrook, Shivaram P. Singh, Edford Sinkala, Igor Skrypnik, Silvia Sookoian, C. Wendy Spearman, Kannan Sridharan, Norbert Stefan, Jonathan G. Stine, Nikos Stratakis, Juan J. Suárez, Dhastagir Sultan Sheriff, Shikha S. Sundaram, Gianluca Svegliati-Baroni, Mark G. Swain, Frank Tacke, Shahrud Taheri, Soek-Siam Tan, Tawesak Tanwandee, Elliot B. Tapper, Giovanni Targher, Eugen Tcaciuc, Norah A. Terrault, Maja Thiele, Dina Tiniakos, Aldo Torre, Esther A. Torres, Sombat Treeprasertsuk, Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis, Svetlana Turcan, Adela Turcanu, Jonas Valantinas, Luca V. C. Valenti, Laurens A. van Kleef, Lisa B. VanWagner, Jose A. Velarde-Ruiz Velasco, Mette Vesterhus, Eduardo Vilar-Gomez, Kymberly D. Watt, Julia J. Wattacheril, Sarah H. Wild, Fonda Wilkins, Robert J. Wong, Vincent Wai-Sun Wong, Stavra A. Xanthakos, Yusuf Yilmaz, Dan Yock Young, Ming-Lung Yu, Volkan D. Yumuk, Shira Zelber-Sagi, Kenneth I. Zheng, and Ming-Hua Zheng.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Hannes Hagström acknowledges research funding to his institutions from AstraZeneca, Echosens, Gilead, Intercept, MSD, Novo Nordisk, and Pfizer; has served as consultant or on advisory boards for AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers-Squibb, MSD, and Novo Nordisk; and has been part of hepatic event adjudication committees for Boehringer Ingelheim, KOWA, and GW Pharma, outside of the submitted work. Leon A. Adams has received speaker or advisory board fees from Novartis, Pfizer, Roche Diagnostics, and Gilead outside the submitted work. Alina M. Allen acknowledges grant support to her institution from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (DK128127), Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and Target Pharma and advisory board participation for Novo Nordisk and Boehringer Ingelheim, outside of the submitted work. Christopher D. Byrne acknowledges independent grant support from Echosens, France, outside of the submitted work. Ajay Duseja acknowledges an unpaid role as Secretary-General of the Indian National Association for the Study of the Liver (INASL), outside of the submitted work. Henning Grønbaek acknowledges research grants from ARLA, AbbVie, Intercept, and the Novo Nordisk Foundation, has served on the advisory board at Ipsen, and has been a speaker for Norgine, outside of the submitted work. Rohit Loomba has served as a consultant or advisory board member for Alnylam/Regeneron, Amgen, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CohBar, Eli Lilly, Galmed Pharmaceuticals, Gilead, Glympse bio, Inipharm, Intercept, Ionis, Janssen Inc., Merck, Metacrine, Inc., NGM Biopharmaceuticals, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sagimet, 89 bio, and Viking Therapeutics, acknowledges grant support to his institution from Allergan, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Galectin Therapeutics, Galmed Pharmaceuticals, Genfit, Gilead, Intercept, Inventiva, Janssen Inc., Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, Merck, NGM Biopharmaceuticals, Pfizer, and Siemens, receives funding support from NIEHS (5P42ES010337), NCATS (5UL1TR001442), NIDDK (U01DK061734, R01DK106419, P30DK120515, R01DK121378, R01DK124318), NHLBI (P01HL147835), and DOD PRCRP (W81XWH-18-2-0026), and is a co-founder of Liponexus, Inc, outside of the submitted work. Philip N. Newsome acknowledges grants or contracts from Novo Nordisk, consulting fees from BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead, Intercept, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, Madrigal, GSK, and Poxel Pharmaceuticals, payment or honoraria from Novo Nordisk and AiCME, support for attending meetings and/or travel from Novo Nordisk, and advisory board participation for Novo Nordisk, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead, Intercept, Poxel Pharmaceuticals, BMS, Pfizer, Sun Pharma, Madrigal, and GSK, outside of the submitted work. Mary E. Rinella acknowledges consulting fees from Intercept, Madrigal, Novo Nordisk, GSK, NGM, CytoDyn, Sonic Incytes, Boehringer Ingelheim, Takeda, and HistoIndex, outside of the submitted work. Ian A. Rowe acknowledges personal fees from AbbVie and Roche,

outside of the submitted work. Jörn M. Schattenberg acknowledges research funding from Gilead Sciences, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Siemens Healthcare GmbH, consulting fees from Apollo Endosurgery, Albireo Pharma Inc, Bayer, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead Sciences, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen, Inventiva Pharma, Madrigal, MSD, NorthSea Therapeutics, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, and Siemens Healthcare GmbH, payment or honoraria from Boehringer Ingelheim, Echosens, med publico GmbH, Novo Nordisk, and Madrigal Pharmaceuticals, support for attending meetings and/or travel from Gilead Sciences, and stock from AGED diagnostics and Hepta bio, outside of the submitted work. Marina Serper acknowledges consulting fees from Gilead Sciences, outside of the submitted work. Tracey G. Simon has served as a consultant for Aetion, outside of the submitted work. C. Wendy Spearman acknowledges payment or honoraria from Gilead Sciences and Abbott, support for attending meetings and/or travel from Gilead Sciences, and roles as Vice-president of the Society on Liver Disease in Africa (SOLDA) and member of the International Advisory Board of The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology journal, outside of the submitted work. Sarah H. Wild acknowledges attending conferences supported by Novo Nordisk, outside of the submitted work. Vincent Wai-Sun Wong acknowledges grants from Gilead Sciences to his institution, consulting fees from AbbVie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Echosens, Gilead Sciences, Intercept, Inventiva, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sagimet Biosciences, and TARGET PharmaSolutions, payment and honoraria from Abbott, AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, Novo Nordisk, and Unilab, support for attending meetings and/or travel from AbbVie and Gilead Sciences, and unpaid stock as co-founder of Illuminatio Medical Technology Limited, outside of the submitted work. Yusuf Yilmaz acknowledges consultancy fees from Zydus and Cymabay, outside of the submitted work. Shira Zelber-Sagi has given presentations for and received support for attending meetings and/or travel from AbbVie, outside of the submitted work. Fredrik

Åberg acknowledges speaker fees from Abbvie, Sandoz, Astellas, Siemens Healthineers, and Norgine and consultancy fees from Sandoz, Takeda, Guidepoint, Astra Zeneca, and Ipsen, outside of the submitted work. Jeffrey V. Lazarus acknowledges grants and speaker fees from AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, MSD, and Roche Diagnostics to his institution, speaker fees from Echosens, Janssen, Novo Nordisk, and ViiV, consulting fees from GSK and Novavax, and support to ISGlobal from grant CEX2018-000806-S, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, and the “Generalitat de Catalunya,” through the CERCA Program, outside of the submitted work. The remaining authors (Yoosoo Chang, Mona H. Ismail, Peter Jepsen, Fasiha Kanwal, Jennifer Kramer, Henry E. Mark, Seungho Ryu, Arun Sanyal, Nick Sheron, Elliot B. Tapper, and Marcela Villota-Rivas) have no conflicts to report.

REFERENCES

1. Rinella ME, Lazarus JV, Ratziu V, Francque SM, Sanyal AJ, Kanwal F, et al. A multi-society Delphi consensus statement on new fatty liver disease nomenclature. *J Hepatol.* 2023;79:1542–56.
2. Ratziu V, Boursier J. Confirmatory biomarker diagnostic studies are not needed when transitioning from NAFLD to MASLD. *J Hepatol.* 2023:S0168-8278(23)04996-6. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2023.07.017.
3. Song SJ, Che-To Lai J, Lai-Hung Wong G, Wai-Sun Wong V, Cheuk-Fung Yip T. Can we use old NAFLD data under the new MASLD definition? *J Hepatol.* 2023:S0168-8278(23)05000-6. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2023.07.021.
4. Hagström H, Vessby J, Ekstedt M, Shang Y. 99% of patients with NAFLD meet MASLD criteria and natural history is therefore identical. *J Hepatol.* 2023:S0168-8278(23)05080-8. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2023.08.026.
5. Hagström H, Adams LA, Allen AM, Byrne CD, Chang Y, Grønbaek H, et al. Administrative coding in electronic health care record-based research of NAFLD: An expert panel consensus statement. *Hepatology.* 2021;74:474–82.
6. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Allen AM, Arab JP, Carrieri P, Nouredin M, et al. A global research priority agenda to advance public health responses to fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol.* 2023;79:618–34.